Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/598,733	BRAEDER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SHARON M. PRANGE	3728
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) SHARON M. PRANGE.	(3) Eliot Hala	mud
(2) MICKEY YU.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 07 December 2010.		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed:		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>TP</u> 3059476		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the invention and the difference between the cited art and the instant application. Discussed the limitation of the flat edge on the outlet. Once awendments are submitted, there are applicated search will be conducted (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
SMP AU 3728 12/7/10	Zmy	

·= x4,(**